Women in engineering: Interests, perspectives, confidence...and experiences

C. J. Atman Luncheon keynote, 2009 June 18 2009 WEPAN National Conference

Luncheon keynote discussion notes

Given the Academic Pathways Study (APS) findings presented during the keynote talk, audience members were asked to consider how the interests, perspectives, and confidence of women studying engineering might interact with their educational experiences.

Question #1: How might women's interests, perspectives, and confidence affect their experiences as engineering undergraduates?

Question #2: Conversely, how might their experiences affect their interests, perspectives, and confidence?

Transcribed, anonymized responses, along with any additional notes, are shown below (one row per person).

For more information about the Center for the Advancement for Engineering Education and the Academic Pathways Study, please visit our web site at http://www.engr.washington.edu/caee/.

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
For the most part, the expressions of confidence	Subtle bias, stereotype threats, etc. will influence	Since we ran out of time, here's a comment on
women may have may not result in the same	self-assessment of performance. Thus, without	how to present these findings in a way that doesn't
reaction from faculty & peers (and others). We	credible domain-specific feedback (e.g., from	reinforce stereotypes: Think of trying to figure out
know from sociological studies of gender that	faculty or other professionals in engineering),	how the findings help support desired changes in
women are "allowed" less variability in expressing	women will tend to underestimate their	engineering educationmaybe look at The
assertiveness, etc. (and perhaps the men are over-	capabilities relative to men's self-assessment	Engineering of 2020 and suggest how findings (not
confident, unrealistically so). Those less confident	with consequences for retention in engineering.	leading with gender differences) indicate students
(perhaps as a result of subtle feedback endemic in		are aligned with the goals of achieving the Engineer
our society) will feel less authentic, less		of 2020and then mention those factors where
comfortable in an engineering setting.		women seem to exemplify to an even greater
		[extent] than men the desired directions toward
		reaching the goals of engineering education.
When and how do we let it, other than through	We say we want diversity, but do we really?	We are making sausage. We take different stuff,
their extracurriculars, service projects, or some	Women do bring diverse perspectives, but our	put it through a grinder, mix it together, discard
research? These are the places where they have	educational system creates engineers who all have	stuff that shouldn't be in sausage, and shove out a
true choice to let their differences give their overall	to know/do the same stuff. So, our processes	fairly uniform product. ← which is what you want
experience meaning.	devalue and squeeze out the diversity. This has to	for sausage, but not for engineers
	have a negative effect on the things they value and	
	consequently on their overall assessment of their	
	experiences.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Women's interests, perspectives, and confidence is not aligned with some schools' engineering curriculum and/or programs. Engineering curriculum is taught and delivered in a linear manner, where women's interests, perspectives, and confidence are, for some, not linear → we are different, think differently than our male counterparts (spaghetti – women's brain, waffles – men's brain)	Their experiences should be reinforced as positive contributions (rather than different or strange). Engineering education needs to be approached holistically. There must be a relationship between level of selfesteem that affects their interests, perspectives, and confidenceperhaps the men played more competitive, team sports?	
With the values that women have in all those areas: I would suspect how they succeed in engineering will be in large part based on the relationships they have with their professors, ability to balance their courseload and other elements.	If they are not getting the support (pertaining to coursework and study) they need while in school, they may flee from engineering upon graduation.	
→ slide, balancing for women, ↓ GPA How did you correlate for men? I have 2 boys. Both attended D-1 schools and played on D-1 football/baseball team.	Balancing <u>same</u> issue Maybe boys/men bring it up and discuss or complain about it a lot <u>less</u> .	
Women bring broader interests than men to engineering (environmental, social, cultural) and may find the engineering curriculum restrictive, not allowing them to explore their varied interests.	If women's experience in the engineering classroom does not value the perspectives they bring, they may feel alienated and lose interest and confidence in engineering.	
 Bring more social relevant examples into the classroom Allow women students to work in teams with other women students. Recognize accomplishments of women in classroom so all students are aware Bring industry with real problems to present in classroom – environmental firms 	1) Women are more socially aware and want to work on issues that help humanity. Focus on these types of problems in the engineering classroom.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Interests in EC activities don't appear to be stereotypically "engineering" protocol. So women's outside interest could negatively impact their experiences as undergrads. These interests might make them unique engineers (and students) however.	Their experiences as eng. Undergrads might force them to curb their interest in EC activities or to not talk about/reveal these interests to others.	
 Attrition – leaving engineering, persistence Satisfaction with instructors, teaching environment 	 Positive experience may lead to persistence beyond BS – gap into engineering workforce Overcome lack of confidence 	
Confidence (most critical). Confidence level of women in undergraduate engineering programs mirror the "freshmen attitudes report" done by Noel-Levitz (2007), which assessed high school female students' perception of their math skills, which conversely relate to their motivation to complete school successfully. I believe that interest can be strengthened and perspective can be changed, but confidence is an attribute that must be developed.	Experiences – vicarious or personal -> greatly influence the degree to which interests might be developed and access to information needed for changes for perspectives.	
	Solid performance in the face of their own reported lack of confidence should increase confidence – need to acknowledge their solid performance (note – male confidence often outstrips performance, while female performance often higher than their confidence).	
Undermine their own abilities to then not continue to higher degrees	Be exceptional teachers/mentors	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
 Women are interested in "broad" issues but work mostly on details in coursework. Women students are concerned about balancing parenthood & marriage with the hard work requiring their focus at the job. Women's low confidence makes the engineering education experience "feel" worse than it feels for men. 	 Women who persist to become engineers realize they can change the world faster than people who majored in something other than engineering. 	
The context of why they are pursuing an engineering degree: - Goals of impact on society - Personal ambitions - Sense of a community	 Reinforcement of interest and choice of engineering as an appropriate choice. Practical/co-op experience may encourage or discourage experience/pursuit of engineering 	
They have broad interest but courses and experiences are narrowly focused -> less satisfaction. Interest in "softer" side of engineering not reflected in experiences so less satisfaction.	Narrow focus of course/lab projects -> decrease in interest Mismatch between interest and curriculum -> lower confidence Working with mostly male peers may lead them to believe their inclusion of broad issues is "wrong" -> lower confidence and cause them to narrow their inclusiveness.	
Lower presence/respect for some women's interests sends messages that women shouldn't "be here." Lack of positive feedback about some women's interests accrues in time into lowered confidence and more negative experiences (see Tonso 2007, "On the Outskirts of Engineering" for a raft of empirical evidence to support these cases)	Some men's (students and faculty) persistent comments signal that women aren't supposed to "be here" send clear messages that ultimately diminishes their desire to persist as engineer. As one of Seymour and Hewitt's students noted, "I am encouraged to leave by discouragement." And, my "Marianne" whose prof told her she couldn't defend her vantage point in a sense that she was the case that proved their point. (about how "girls" only want to get married). Actually, I had hoped that you would empirically study these aspects that we are commenting on	My larger issue is: what empirical evidence do you/your study have that men and women are meaningful categories? Also this info really tells an important story that women's engineering IS qualitatively different AND THEN BE SURE TO NOTE THAT THIS IS OK! However, some (considerable actually) within groups analyze/comparison is needed for amplify how men vary from other men and women vary from other women. Brava!

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
ON most campuses, women represent less than	Since women need and value community,	
20% students studying engr, but more than 50%	"balancing" often means cutting back on the	
participating in leadership activities on campus.	extracurriculars which further isolates women.	
Do women in other majors do more extracurricular	More successful experience = more confidence.	
activities than men? Don't want them to drop their	 Saw a presentation at ASEE (can't 	
extracurricular activities if they feel overwhelmed –	remember which one right now) that	
so maybe more co-op experience for credit?	discussed doing pro-college engineering	
	design beginning in first grade: ended with	
	"can you imagine what senior capstone	
	design projects would look like?"	
	 Give all students more experience with 	
	design lets both boys and girls do all types	
	of design activities (stereotypically male	
	and female activities)	
What are their interests or focuses?	Gendered childhood play (dolls/house/socialized	
- Environment	vs. construction/legos)	
- Health/safety		
- Technology		
Women bringing broader perspectives in might feel	Foregrounding the professional outcomes from	
devalued, frustrated, and dissonant with a	ABET would validate the female perspectives	
curricular structure that focuses on narrow facets	shown in the data, and would bring more	
at a time without integration across the	importance to those aspects among males (sorry,	
coursework.	trying to listen, write, think at same time! Hope	
Women without experience tinkering think all the	makes sense!!)	
guys know all about instruments and machines		
when these days none or few of the students do.		

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Not an engineer! So I'm not really qualified!	Successful internship or practical experience could increase confidence.	
Choice of specialty field and courses. Choice of	Getting "dissed" by male peers or faculty ->	
degree of participation in social issues pre- professional groups. Internships? (is this common	decrease confidence in engineering.	
in engineering??) or opportunity to participate in a		
faculty research lab.		
Likelihood of seeking mentors (peer or older)		
Leave engineering due to perception of lack of	Everything is affected by engineers and	
support in encouraging their diverse interests.	engineering, so we owe it to all students to provide	
They may need to work harder to "sell" their connections of their diverse interest to	a variety of engineering examples/experiences.	
engineering.		
Determines major participation level and types of	Positive or negative experiences change	
experiences chosen.	perspectives, interest and confidence levels – could	
	lead to persistence or drop out or major change.	
	Also determines professional choices after	
Comple perspectives on design processes offereds	graduation.	
Female perspectives on design processes affords expended opportunities		
They may be more/less likely to volunteer for a	Success improves confidence/interest. Working in	
variety of extracurricular activities.	unfamiliar context can increase perspective.	
They may be more/less willing to speak up in		
class/lab.		
Set expectations unrealistically high.		

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
If neglected, women's beliefs and confidence will be unsupported and dissuade them from the value of engineering. They may not/probably not practice as engineers. This is the chilly climate. Perceptions are important to women – especially to know that their own are valued by others.	With positive experiences, interest, perspectives and confidence grow. They're more empowered to accomplish more. In our first year Engineering Projects class, we form teams based on social styles (not gender! Though an all women's class could be cool) and then we rotate "roles" and finally, I have each person take a skills leadership and teaching role on design skills, and everyone learns something new. Call me to discuss! [phone number removed]	Also, check out our first year Engineering Design Course retention data. We show a consistent increase in retention over the years vs those who do not take this course. Go to itll.colorado.edu and find "papers."
 From data, women are less confident in solving open-ended problem solving. From data, women would take into account more design factors (from a broad perspective and close perspective) O – my question is, are these related to each other and to the finding that women are more overwhelmed in engineering studies? This is, are women less confident because they see the problems as more complex than the men, which also might contribute to their feelings of being overwhelmed. 		
Their interest-broad contexts – not emphasized in curriculums, negative impact. Confidence – have less and less hands-on experience, spatial reasoning – negative impact.	Narrow view – less broad participation.	
If externally supported to achieve and provided with information of interest they may have a more positive experience.	If through experience they find a passion it might increase their confidence and interest.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
If they don't have a deep interest in what they are	Negative experience such as gender discrimination	
doing (went into Eng. For wrong reason money,	or not making a connection can wreck their	
family pressure, etc.) they won't do well.	confidence.	
If subject matter does not have a direct connection		
to "making a difference" they may not find it		
important.		
If their interests are what labs/class activities/HW	Vice versa down here if a teacher says "you don't	
assignments/career education is around then	belong here" confidence drops etc.	
experience would be more positive. I think		
increased confidence results in a more positive		
perspective of eng.		
Women's interest focus on more social impacts ->	Lack of role models, mentors, or other successful	
this may become increasingly important with	women could negatively affect their interest,	
changing focus on environmental/social concerns	perspectives and confidence.	
and impacts.		
Conf -> al see the lower conf levels as interesting		
because perhaps the role of mentors can		
counteract the lower conf in other areas		
They may think they are alone with respect to		
perspective and confidence if they don't have peer		
or other mentors going though same experiences.		
→ Interests: need for connecting career to		
societal interests; need to social		
connection		
→ Perspectives:		
→ Confidence: low confidence may limit		
career potential		

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Women's lower confidence in their abilities are likely to increase the probability that they will leave an engineering major. If women's broader perspective and interest (as described in the APS study) are not addressed in engineering classes, women may feel less engaged with engineering.	If they are encouraged by their professors, their confidence may increase. Their experiences in engineering school may spark other interests in engineering – they may learn about engineering application they hadn't know before. On the other hand, if their experience in engineering school does not open new options for them, ie are more narrow, their interest and confidence that engineering is a good fit for them may decline.	
I think women who are introduced to engineering (ie what the study of engineering is, who and where are engineers) later in their secondary education and/or early in their college career will be less confident and less likely to express an interest in engineering. Females tend to have babysitting jobs in their teen years which may lead to their nurturing and		
broader (human) experience. Sometimes women who become too interested in activities/organizations their gpas fall and they are less confident/successful in the courses. Women's interests and perspectives can help broaden and diversify applications of engineering and keep them in pipeline if they felt their views are adding value.	On the other hand, some students gain confidence in their ability to earn engineering degrees as they assume leadership roles in student groups If women have strong, positives experience in undergrad where they are validated and feel their view are contributing to engineering, it can help them stay in their major and keep their interest	
However, general lack (or lesser) confidence can create greater struggles for women in pipeline and they may not feel their "diverse" views are valued or applicable, thus making it easier to drop out or change majors.	going, as well as provide further fuel to their confidence to continue contributing their perspective and input.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
With less confidence, women might find it harder to persevere through challenging courses and might consider change majors. In projects that are team-based, they might feel that their perspectives and interests aren't represented and it might be harder to speak up.	If they've had less experience tinkering they might have less confidence.	
	If women have negative experiences, then their interests and confidence will be negative as well. Whereas if they have positive experiences they will be more interested and have more confidence.	
If valuable perspectives/interests are validated in experience (eg value of female broader perspective), then women's interests, perspectives and confidence will enhance their educations experience. Conversely, if these are not validated, or are disconnected, this could -> will result in a negative experience for women.	Their experiences should help to both focus and broaden their interests, perspectives and confidence.	
Importance that they don't have to be perfect at every class. Women feel less confident than men in classes even though they may be doing better academically.	Positive experience and having a support network will allow women to persist in engineering.	
Involving them in applied research projects early on could affect their experience as undergraduates.	Any experience in engineering work could broaden their horizon and guide them in forming their engineering career.	
These things affect their retention in engineering.	They need to see that their career affects their interest (such as helping others, changing the world).	
-social helping – EPICS w/o borders -first and second year curriculum engages or turns off -perspectives on engr as a careers -lack of alignment cause for departure from the field	-curriculum aspects turn off students -confidence that low already easier to dissuade – even if more of perception than truth	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
On the finding that women think more broadly in design, the communication in the team must be brutal on confidence. We know women are not listened to and men repeat their ideas. If a woman wants to talk about access and neighborhood, the men would tell them it's superfluous to cost and materials. This must share their confidence in their abilities. Some of their strength may not be valued and weaknesses more valued which can amplify their loss of confidence. Also, they are forced to adapt.	Then in turn, why would they want to continue engr when anyone can build equipment under budget? Vicious circle. Faculty could lead discussions first and model engr considers broad issues before giving to teams. May validate broad thinkers (mean and women) to make argument for better retention of women and other broad thinkers. They may lose interest Change perspective or as data shows become even broader in their perspective (yr 1 women think	Confidence will return when they master the "normative" skills
If interests are not satisfied in early years, they leave.	more broadly than y4 men from the data!)	
Less confidence in math abilities translates to lower likelihood of success in rigid "gatekeeper" courses and a highly competitive environment.	Engineering undergraduate curricula in general are very rigid, defined and comprehensive. This means that students who may wish to pursue additional options are unable to do so, which probably contributes to the overburdened feeling of women students.	
Speaking from personal experience, my experience as an engineering undergraduate was that I was different than everyone else, that I had to prove myself to gain respect, that I was on my own. I was a leader in our engineering school and felt I needed to keep up many leadership activities and many classes to be accepted in the engineering community. My experience was: stress, little sleep, non-stop work, juggling many activities, achieving many things and still feeling it wasn't enough.	Providing empowering messages and activities to women throughout curriculum could boost confidence and intensity and promote the idea that their perspectives make an important difference. They are the ones to make the difference.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Women often take things more personally and	Teachers who pride themselves on weed-out	
assume one mistake, or one C, means they are not	courses should be counseled, or removed.	
qualified to be an engineering and drop out.	Teachers still hold the key to making or breaking a	
Messaging matters and requiring student to go	student's self-confidence and they should be aware	
through the paces before giving them the big	of that and be trained in how to help students	
picture may cause some to opt out. Women who	develop self-confidence.	
elect to go into engineering already have		
developed an "outer shell" to deal with the		
environment.		
Order of curriculum matter!		
-Make programs "cool" and "interesting"	-The experience needs to be very interesting	
-increase "confidence" in women	-It has to relate to real life problems.	
-campaign for better work life balance with	-WE MUST INCREASE CONFIDENCE LEVEL IN	
technical and engineering films.	WOMEN.	
Women tend to include broader considerations –	We must address why women's confidence is low!	
this is important to improve the quality of design –	Why is this, if women student's have higher grades,	
let's encourage this!	participate in student organizations, ie if their	
	performance is higher why is their confidence.	
Women have much to offer because of their	Experiences in engineering program? If yes, I can	
interests and experiences in terms of design and	imagine that their experiences will in fact lessen all	
implementation that we might not consider, but	three.	
their lack or limited confidence may hinder their		
willingness to speak up or in fact be heard.		

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
From my experience as first year advisor at Purdue 1978 – 2000: the way they interpret negative experiences – bad grade on first physics test Eg female earns 52 on physics test, says "I'm probably not cut out to be an engineer." Male earns 52 on physics test, says "The test was ridiculous; the professor is an idiot."	If prof would control aggressive behavior of a few what males in the classroom; others would feel more engaged and probably have increase confidence and sustain interest. E.g. Faculty member set expectation at the beginning of the semester that when she/he asks questions, she/he will wait five seconds and then call on a student who has raised hand. Stops aggressive male from shouting out answer before others have an opportunity to consider a response. *I would like to receive slides of findings and the appropriate attribution to use for the research team when I show a slide	
Participation in external extracurricular organizations, while critically important, socially for women, may take time away from focusing on GPA.	Broader perspective from broader interests will help engineers be more innovative and more comprehensive in their problem solving.	
The complexity of these issues cannot be overstated. Clearly women bring so many positive things to eng. Not only in terms of their gender identity, but also in the many intersections of their diverse identities and experiences. At the same time, women's confidence concerns may and I guess do have an impact on their motivation to and success in eng. Programs. Cleary, even if women are performing similarly to men their perceptions of success influences their desires to stay in engineering fields.	We have to embrace that women like men have broad experiences that influence them in choosing, staying (or not) and hopefully thrive in engineering. Reaching out to the broad interests in key.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
-variety of interests contribute to overloaded feeling -desire/need of support structure tends to conflict with time demands of engr courseslack of confidence seems to negatively impact the women's desire to participate in study groups — even though study groups would appear to be more female oriented.	-Grading in courses generally is tough. Averages may be 60% and that might get you a BTo women this lack of perfection tends to lead to feelings of lack of confidence.	
-frustration if broader interests and perspectives are not valued in design courses -lower confidence may hinder risk-taking in classes and peer group interactions	Experience could be structured to improve confidence or extract participation in non-threatening ways.	
If they feel women don't go with engineering, then they may feel they are not perform well in the major (gender schemas) Women also prefer to use engineering for the "common good or humankind" in comparison to what men desire to do with their major	Over time, they might leave engineering for another field or go into engineering education.	40% F
Oftentimes undergraduates women engineering students have varied interests. This sometimes affects their undergraduate experience in negative ways because they do not know how to prioritize their many interests and therefore do not balance their time well which leads to stress.	If they have a negative experience, their confidence levels go down, their perspectives are lowered and they have a lack of interests in participating in activities. The opposite happens (I believe) if they have good experiences.	
-selection of research or project teams -motivation to pursue prof. and/or leadership development opps -pursuit of opportunities to connect eng with service or addressing social needsif confidence level is low, it may lead to increased feelings of being an imposter – DO I belong here? Can I do this?	-positive experiences reinforce confidence and participation -Neg experiences, negatively impact persistence and retention -Access to increased resources may enrich perspectives and build confidence	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Lower confidence and lack of interest because of	May influence the kind of work they pursue.	
lack of relevant applications will affect retention	Positive, reinforcing experience will enhance	
rates. They are not commended for their	confidence.	
strengths. Their perspectives are undervalued.		
Lack of confidence inhibits participation	Leadership and outreach participation work to	
	reinforce interests and confidence.	
If females were more involved in informal	If they are not successful in math and science,	
activities, it is imp to provide earlier activities that	provide experiences that would encourage positive	
capture interests and are engineering related	experiences that will nurture interest.	
Less prof. organ. Active part. –will need to design	Increase team work -> highlighting outcomes	
better recruitment -> more inclusive org.	(team) and approaches/contributions (indiv.)	
	Train faculty to use team work to build confidence.	
Confidence permeates everything. At age 53, I still	It only takes one or two confidence abusing	
have confidence issues!!	experience to rain a woman for her entire career.	
	These negative imprints can be seared into a	
	memory for life, esp. if the abuser is a position of	
	power.	
Women's interest may affect on what kind of	Their experience (positive) will affect on their	
engineering education they like	confidence and finding job that is more demanding.	
Lack of confidence in abilities results in less		
volunteering (to speak in classes, provide		
insight/thoughts)= less public speaking in general		
	Playground data seem to suggest that they lose	
	some interest in social issues from Year 1 to Year 4	
-low confidence can adversely impact experience.	-positive experience in specific areas can mold	
-being able to apply engineering concepts	interests	
positively impacts experience.	-neg. experience will lower confidence	
-interests have wide appeal on the self selected	-Neg experiences will either increase their	
experiences	advocacy of young women pursuing the field or	
	make them withdraw from the field	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
-women are typically taught (modeled) to be	Because of the above, women may have trouble	
caregivers, peacemakers, considerate of other	making design decisions that are satisfactory	
(including animals and environment) and so are apt	(perfect?) to all	
to consider others/other factors in the design		
process.		
Confidence issues may mean females take fewer	Confidence can be developed by roles in	
leadership roles in projects	experiences esp for leadership in projects	
Limit their participation in classes, activities etc.	Awards and recognition will propel them to greater	
Place more stress on them in regards to balancing	success. Negative interactions with peers and	
their interests and their coursework	faculty will lead [them] to spend more time on	
	other interests and reduce confidence in field	
-knowing women have broad interests it is	They will come to Engineering with predisposed	
important to offer a variety of experiences and	ideas that might not be grounded in reality.	
exposure to areas of engineering		
-acknowledge confidence issues and add		
confidence, leadership development to educational		
experience.		
-if interests are not taken into account -> they		
won't stay in majors.		
Don't take leadership roles in teams. Provide more	More interested in finding solutions to human	
of a nurturing role.	problems	
Give up in though courses. Set expectations that		
are too high.		
Avoid situations involving anything they are not	Success -> confidence.	
familiar with – confidence.	Exposure -> broadens interests and perspectives.	
Lead them to majors that match their interests		
directly – ie BME, "help people."		
Greater consideration and interest in of a broad	Experiences that do not address women's full	
spectrum of design experiences could lead to	range of concerns and interest lead to frustration	
frustration with existing curriculum. Their lower	and lower levels of confidence that they "belong."	
levels of confidence (and tendency to internalize)		
would lead to lower levels of satisfaction with		
engineering education.		

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Have you considered factors such as cultural and	As mentioned, the dynamics of race, cultural	
racial demographics? This definitely influences	experiences does influence perspectives.	
interests, perspectives and confidence.		
Very much so. Women need to be welcomed and	Very much so. If a woman or any individual does	
embraced through encouragement.	feel if they are important or have something	
	valuable to offer to the engineering profession, it	
	will have a negative impact on their confidence.	
Add in the social science.	Experience builds the confidence (its affirmation),	
	broadens perspective and builds the interest. Now	
Social expectations of women are different than	they'll spend less time questioning themselves,	
men. Not only are they orienting themselves to	proving themselves, now they transition to "being"	
the education as a student but also to the	the engineer/scientist.	
"acceptance" that they may belong, be qualified		
and have/offer contribution.		
Women have a stronger need to receive or to feel		
affirmation. IF men do, they are less likely socially		
to admit or ask.		
Bringing in research about male/female brain	Because of the (60%) gender specific orientation	
structure, function and chemical makeup may help	and socialization, women will more likely pick	
frame some of these differences. Also, gender	things that affect people/make the world a better	
communication theory. Women's brains (~60%) –	place, creating relationships, asking questions and	
both sides open up when concentration, one side	"keeping the peace"/conflict adverse. So they will	
of male closes when concentrating. Women tend	more likely gravitate to experience that relate to	
to over communicate, express feelings, etc.	those issues.	
Women "mask" and are socialized to not show lack		
of confidence.		
Participation in team projects (deferring to others)	Retention	
Choosing field within engineering	GPA	
Bring difference perspectives to design projects	Recruiting other women	
and discussions		
Senior design projects can provide the final	Leadership opportunities in SWE, B3SS, SHPE, IEEE,	
experience that reflects their interests and	ASME etc. can increase all three (interests,	
perspectives.	perspectives, confidence).	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
-Leads to higher retention	Again-classroom experiences affect retention	
-Ability to serve as mentors or positive role models	Support systems are essential	
for younger students.		
-Support systems: Learning communities are		
proven to increase retention and often lead to		
higher GPAs than non-learning community		
students. Provides a sense of community.		
Women appear to desire a broader range of		
extracurricular experiences as undergrads. This		
may improve the quality of their work as		
professional engineers but creates stress in		
balancing undergrad work with external interests.		
-how much confidence a student has in themselves	-experience can lead to vastly different interest;	
directly/proportionally affects their experience.	women seem to need other activities that are not	
Once confidence is shattered they will not perform	technical to keep them sane.	
as well as an engineer (emotions start to play in).	-if bad experiences in engineering, they may pursue	
-however, women push harder to prove they can	non-engineer careers (regardless of technical	
compete in a male engineering world.	degree and need in industry) -> happiness is most important.	
See increase numbers of biomed and	Experiences -> the importance of community	
environmental programs	building.	
	Do you want my life story? ©	
	So many female students find the spark they need	
	to get them on a professional path based on their	
	experiences as undergrads. Running outreach	
	programs kept me in engineering. Winning 13 year	
	old girls over gave me confidence to speak to	
	faculty and industry (teenagers are WAY scarier).	
	Studying engineering has completely shaped my	
	perspective on how the world works.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
As the students' confidence levels rise, they	In our Intro to Engineering (can experimental class)	
become more active in mixed gender engineering	female students participating in something like a	
group activities.	Lego Robotics module may shy away from activities	
	that they have not experienced before (like the	
They express differences in experiences with WIE	building or programming). They then get	
activities then other group activities.	"assigned" the tasks in their group to research or	
	report writing.	
If women think more broadly than men do (in the	Perhaps the process of how we focus our	
design project ideas that you reference) shouldn't	curriculum turns away females (who think broadly)	
that influence how design projects and concepts	by reinforcing that theses broad concepts are less	
are taught? What if the concepts we teach are too	important (because they are not emphasized in the	
narrowly focused? Does this disengage the female	curriculum).	
students?		
If the engineering education experiences do not	Negative experiences = decreased interest,	
include or consider women's interests, confidence	confidence and narrow perspectives.	
and perspectives they may become		
disenfranchised; feel they don't belong in that	Positive and varied experiences = broadened	
educational program and may leave.	interests, greater confidence and possibly unique	
-subject matter relevancy	perspectives.	
-methods of teaching		
-opportunities for interaction		
Facing the issues brought about by family,	Negative experiences can create a negative vicious	
education and gender issues, women might tend to	loop that lower confidence and perspectives. As	
lower their confidence and to face education and	educators we must take special care into ensuring	
work world perceiving that they can achieve mush	that women can have positive experiences but also	
less, then ending up with less satisfaction.	we must educate young male students be bridging	
	the gap and facilitating their female peers.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
Women's interests, perspectives and confidence affect their experience as engineering undergraduates, by increasing feelings of being overwhelmed, women feel dissatisfied with their quality of life because they are not able to effectively balance all the elements of their life that make them feel complete. This "seed" of dissatisfaction then grows, causing women to rapidly fall out of the pipeline at all levels.	More positive experiences will enhance confidence, as well as overall perspectives regarding engineering fields and careers, possibly encouraging women to "stick with it."	
They may look at design from a female perspective. Ie machinery designed for a man's body type. Family life Service opportunities – more concerned with how a project will make a difference in society.	Women tend to dwell on failure or mistakes more. If they have trouble in one engineering class, they may be more likely to switch out of engineering.	
If they are more active in organization, their grades may be lower (I know several women students who have made this choice and are ok with trading lower grades for service opportunities).	Becoming active and interested in engineering service, allows women to investigate new or alternative career opportunities – ie more confidence, more diverse interests, more exposure to different perspectives.	
Women will interpret their experience differently depending on their confidence level. For example, if they have less confidence, they may attribute success on a project to external sources rather than their own skills and abilities. Also, women may judge their success or level of success on a different scale depending on confidence -> ie, they may think their achievement is lower if they have lower confidence.	* We can use experiences of design to reinforce and strengthen interests; challenge and develop perspective and develop confidence. * Participation in activities beyond eng. May provide community necessary to persistence.	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
4.0 plan (Donna O. Johnson) – good learning system can help women (and men) get "control" if their crowded schedule.	Need to help women get a perspective on getting a "B." Had all A's; get a B, figure they need to change majors (out of engr.) The encouragement of a faculty member to a woman student that they are good and should go on FIT to graduate school (engr.) contributes a big difference.	
They will bring perspectives to projects and class discussion that will add diversity to the outcome.	They may give up. They may not fully participate.	
-willingness to take certain courses, engage in cooperative work experiences -selection of course work and an engineering major -might focus on outside/extracurricular activities	-support or challenge their beliefs -mentors/role models can have positive impacts	
(how does it) affect the academic and career goals they set – how "high" (academic -> grad school or not? Masters vs. PhD) (industry -> management?)	WIE programs may serve as mechanisms (via social support) for women to develop confidence	
They may take part in more leadership and career development activities as seniors		
I'm interested in women's consideration of more factors and how it (and more social and extracurricular activity) contributes to overload. It is an often-cited reason for leaving engineering. I think it would be interesting to explore confidence as a constraint to a person's ability to think aggressively/creatively about new ideas	I see all these things as intertwined, and affecting each other. I worry about how 18-22 year olds make decisions about life choices; and know from personal experience that sometimes small insignificant things are the foundation for their choices.	
-increase likelihood of dropping out (lack of confidence) more likely to -interpret experiences negatively (feel less qualified and use that as a lens through which they filter their experiences and react to them).	-success and positive feedback can increase confidence, interest (passion) -negative experiences decrease interest, confidence (even 1 negative mixed in with many positives)	

Response #1	Response #2	Other remarks
-provides the foundation for learning	-if curricula, faculty and the engineering	
-these are attributes that university, faculty and	environment are based in historical norms,	
the engineering environment do not support or	women's experiences will be less satisfying	
nurture		
-the more self aware a person is about their		
interests, perspectives and confidence level, the		
more understanding and accepting of difference		
they are and may have better experiences		